ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಕೇನುಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಹಾಗೆ ತನಿಖೆ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ ವಾಗುವುದು ಯಾವಾಗ, ಮುಕ್ತಾಯವಾಗುವುದು ಯಾವಾಗ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಹೋಂ ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿಗೆ ಆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಬೇಕು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Questions 1008 and 1009 may be taken up together.

Constitution of the Sharavathi Valley Project Board.

*Q.—1008. **Sri K. H. RANGANATH** (Mudigere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) when the Sharavathi Valley Project Board was constituted;

(*b*) the Government Order constituting the Board (a copy may be placed on the Table);

(*c*) the functions, powers and personnels of the Board ?

A.—**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA** (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) No such Board has been constituted.

(*b*) Does not arise in view of reply furnished as item I.

(*c*) Does not arise.

Tenders disposed of without consulting the Sharavathi Valley Project Board during 1962-63 and 1963-64.

*Q.—1009. **Sri K. H RANGANATH** (Mudigere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of tenders disposed of without consulting the Sharavathi Valley Project Board by the Government during 1962-63 and 1963-64;

(*b*) the amount involved in the disposal of such tenders;

(*c*) the particulars of work disposed of through such tenders;

A.—**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA** (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) Two tenders have been disposed of without reference to the Hydro-Electric Construction Projects Board. There is no board by name "Sharavathi Valley Project Board".

(*b*) Rs. 3,35,35,457.

(*c*) 1. Providing rockfill and filters on the upstream of the earth dam on the right bank of Linganamakki dam.

2. Providing pitching rock toe and filters for the earth dyke on the right bank of Linganamakki dam Earth Dam from Ch. 7,700 to 9,020.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not understand why two questions given notice of on the same day on the same subject are answered on different dates.

Sri K. H. RANGANATH.—Will the Government be pleased to state the date on which this Hydro-Electric Power Construction Board was constituted and personnel thereof ?

†Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—First of all, there was a committee known as the Sharavathi Hydro-Electric Construction Project to review the progress. That was in 1955. Later on 8th December 1957 this Hydro-Electric Construction Project Board was constituted with jurisdiction over the three construction projects, namely Sharavathi, Bhadra and Thungabhadra projects.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—May I know the reason why these two tenders were disposed of without any reference to the Hydro-Electric Construction Projects Board ? What was the reason ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—The .reasons are these. Regarding the rockfill tenders were called for in May. By the end of May the whole thing will have to be settled. Otherwise the contractors had to get ready with rockfilling bursting and arranging for trucks and all that. There was no time. Therefore, the matter was referred to Government as there was no time to call for a meeting of the Board. The Government was consulted in the matter and the work was taken up.

In the other case, the scheduled rates were more than the offered rates. We have in fact saved ten lakhs in that deal. The Sharavathi Construction Board's offer was 29,19,000 and the tender was for 19,45,000. There was saving of 33 per cent. There was no necessity to consult the Board.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Would it be possible for the Government to name the tender whose tenders were accepted without reference to the project Board ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—If the hon'ble member wants I will reconsider it. But I will not allow this supplementary.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—According to the constitution of the Hydro-Electric Project Board, is it not shown in the constitution itself that all tenders above ten lakhs to be considered by the Project Board.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is true, they are an advisory Board. So we shall go to it. But where there is no necessity because of the saving of 33 per cent, there was no necessity. There was a saving of over ten lakhs.

In the other case, there was no time. It had to be decided within 10 days. The board meeting could not be called for. The urgency was the deciding factor.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—What is the use of this Hydro-Electric Project Board ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is serving a very useful purpose.

Sri K. H. RANGANATH.—With reference to the answer to (c), to how many Companies or to how many contractors this work of providing rockfill has been entrusted and the total cost therefor ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—There are two rock-fills—one on the upstream and another on the down-stream. The upstream has been given to three contractors by negotiated contract; the down stream to one contractor.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—May I know the negotiated tender rate that is given per unit?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I think that question has been answered on a number of occasions before. I have no records now.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—May I know the rates quoted by this Company for these works?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I want notice.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—30 ಕೋಟಿ, 35 ಲಕ್ಷ, 35 ಸಾವಿರದ, 457 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಮೊಬಲಗಿನ ಟೆಂಡರುಗಳನ್ನು ಕರೆಯುವಾಗ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಬೋರ್ಡನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡದೆ, ಟೆಂಡರುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಇದ್ದಂಥ ತೀವ್ರತರವಾದ ಕಾರಣಗಳೇನಿದ್ದವು?

Mr. SPEAKER.—An identical question was put and answered.

ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಆಗಲೇ ಕೇಳಿ ಆಗಿದೆ. ಅವರು ಒಂದು ಐಟಂಗೆ ಕೇಳಲು ಟೈಂ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ, ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಐಟಂ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಕಾರಣವಾಗಿ ಏಕೆ ಕೇಳಲಿಕ್ಕಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ಅದು ಸರಿಯಾದ ಉತ್ತರವಲ್ಲ. ಟೈಂ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಟೈಜುರಿ ಬೀಗ ತೆಗೆದು 5 ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಅಂಥ ಗುರುತರವಾದ ಕಾರಣಗಳೇನಿದ್ದವು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾನೂನು ಉಲ್ಲಂಘನೆ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಇನ್ನು ಕೇಳದೆ ಏಕೆ ಮಾಡಿದರು ಎಂಬುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಾನಾಗಲೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—It was said that certain tenders were negotiated. Would I be correct if I say that the negotiated tenders were very much more than the rates quoted by the three Companies who took up the rock-filling work?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—No.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣಪ್ಪ.—ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಪಡೆದು ಈ ಕಂಪೆನಿಗಳ ಹೆಸರನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದ ಕ್ಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Asking for the Company's name is as good as asking the Government to disclose the name.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಹಜರತ್ ಅಂಡ್ ಕಂಪೆನೀನೇ, ತಾರಾಪೊರೆನೇ ಯಾರು?
Is it Muckannappa & Company?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before I allow this Supplementery question I would like to know who all constitute Muckannappa and Company. If the hon'ble member Sri Muckannappa wants I will reconsider and give a distinct ruling. If he wants me to hear anything he may explain any situation and I am prepared to hear him to-morrow. Let all members be ready.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—We request the chair to hear us and give a ruling.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yes.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ತಮ್ಮ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದಿರತಕ್ಕ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಡಿಂಗ್ಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲೇ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ದವರು ಉತ್ತರಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದೆರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಸರುಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಯಲ್ಲಾ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—At the time it was admitted there was no question of disclosing the name. If they had printed it, it escaped my attention. If I had known, I would have asked the Government...

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಶಂಕಾವತೀ ವ್ಯಾಲೀ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ತೀವ್ರವಾಗಿ ನಡೆಸಲೇಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ರಚಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದನ್ನು ಈ ಕಾಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟ್ ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ತೀವ್ರತಮವಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮುಂದುವರೆಸಲು ಆ ಬೋರ್ಡಿನ ಅನುಮತಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಹಾಗೆ ಆ ಬೋರ್ಡನ್ನು ಉಲ್ಲಂಘನೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾರಣನೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ತ್ವರಿತದಿಂದ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ನಡೆಯಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು ಎಂದು ನಾನಾಗಲೇ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಈ ಬೋರ್ಡ್ ಅನಾವಶ್ಯಕ. ಇದನ್ನು ರದ್ದು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.— ಇಲ್ಲ.

Setting up of a Kannada Film Studio in the State.

*Q.—1137. Sri GANGADHAR NAMOSHI (Gulbarga).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether the Government have assured help for setting up a Kannada Film Studio in the State and if so, the detail may be furnished ;

(*b*) whether they have received any representation in this behalf ?

A.—Sri M. V. KRISHNAPPA (Minister for Revenue) [On behalf of SRI K. MALLAPPA (Minister for Industries and Commerce)].—

(*a*) Yes; applications for assistance in regard to acquisition of land, allotment of power and financial aid etc., will be considered as per rules.

(*b*) Yes.

ಶ್ರೀ ಗಂಗಾಧರ ನಾಮೋಷಿ.—ಈ ಮನವಿಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಾವೇನು ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ, ಅವು ತಮ್ಮ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಬಂದ ತಾರೀಖು ಯಾವುದು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಅವು 1961ರಿಂದಲೂ ಬರುತ್ತಿವೆ. ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೇ ಅವು ನೇರವಾಗಿ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರೇ ನೇರವಾಗಿ ಈ ಸ್ಟುಡಿಯೋಗಳನ್ನು ತೆರೆಯಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ಯಾರಾದರೂ ಖಾಸಗಿಯವರು ಮುಂದೆಬಂದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸೂಕ್ತ ಸಹಾಯ ನೀಡುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ವಿ. ಗೌಡ.—ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೆ ಹಾಗೆಷ್ಟು ಸಂಘ, ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳು ಬಂದಿವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಸಧ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾವುದೂ ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಹೆಸರು ಹೇಳುವುದು ಬೇಡ.

Sanctioning of irrigation wells in Shorapur taluk during 1962 and 1963.

*Q.—1156. Sri RAJA PID NAIK (Shorapur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of applications received by the Tahsildar and the Taluk Board, Shorapur taluk, for sanctioning of irrigation wells during 1962 and 1963 ;

(*b*) the number of irrigation wells that have been sanctioned ;

(*c*) the number of wells that have been completed for which loans were given ;

(*d*) the number of wells, the work of which have not been commenced so far, though loans were sanctioned and drawn for them ?